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ABSTRACT: The Tinker software, currently released as version 8, is a modular molecular mechanics and dynamics package written primarily in a standard, easily portable dialect of Fortran 95 with OpenMP extensions. It supports a wide variety of force fields, including polarizable models such as the Atomic Multipole Optimized Energetics for Biomolecular Applications (AMOEBA) force field. The package runs on Linux, macOS, and Windows systems. In addition to canonical Tinker, there are branches, Tinker HP and Tinker OpenMM, designed for use on message passing interface (MPI) parallel distributed memory supercomputers and state of the art graphical processing units (GPUs), respectively. The Tinker suite also includes a tightly integrated Java based graphical user interface called Force Field Explorer (FFE), which provides molecular visualization capabilities as well as the ability to launch and control Tinker calculations.

1. INTRODUCTION
The Tinker molecular modeling package represents a complete set of software tools for performing a wide range of classical molecular mechanics (MM) calculations and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, with special emphasis on biomolecular computations. This article provides an introduction to some of the features and unique capabilities of the current version of the package, Tinker 8. Recently, specialized branches of the Tinker code have become available for use on large scale multiprocessor supercomputer systems under message passing interface (MPI) parallel distributed memory supercomputers and state of the art graphical processing units (GPUs), respectively. The Tinker suite also includes a tightly integrated Java based graphical user interface called Force Field Explorer (FFE), which provides molecular visualization capabilities as well as the ability to launch and control Tinker calculations.

mid 1990s, and the first distributed version, Tinker 3.2, was publicly announced and made available in late 1996. A major purpose of the software was, and still is, to provide a modular framework for incorporation of existing empirical potentials as well as design and parametrization of new classical force field models. More recently, Tinker served as the computational engine for the early protein folding simulations done via the Folding@home platform, especially for calculations utilizing implicit solvent models. The Tinker package and its corresponding file formats are interoperable with a variety of molecular modeling and visualization tools, including VMD, PyMOL, Jmol, Force Field X, Open Babel, MDTraj, MDAnalysis, ParmEd, Molden, VEGA ZZ, PACK MOL, ForceBalance, WebMO, and many others. Access to Tinker, including the Atomic Multipole Optimized Energetics for Biomolecular Applications (AMOEBA) polarizable force field, is also available from the CHARMM modeling software via the MSCALE interface facility.

The current Tinker 8 package contains roughly 60 command line programs written in an extended version of Fortran 95 utilizing dynamic memory allocation and OpenMP directives that enable multiprocessing across CPU cores/threads on a shared memory computer system. Figure 1 classifies the individual Tinker programs by basic functionality type. All floating point
computations are performed in full double precision arithmetic. The only hard limits on program size are the allowed total number of atoms and a small number of derived array allocations. The package is distributed with full source code and binary executables for Linux, macOS, and Windows operating systems and dimensioned for a maximum of 1 million atoms. Systems containing over 20 million atoms have been calculated after rebuilding, and the size is limited only by available memory. The package is designed to enable interactive use via a terminal window or as background processes controlled via a high level scripting mechanism. The design goal for Tinker is to be highly efficient computational engines on their target computing platforms while maintaining compatibility with canonical Tinker through common coding style, algorithms, file types, and general workflows. The Tinker OpenMM package consists of a branch of the Stanford OpenMM library with substantial modifications to the AMOEBA plugin as well as an interface module written in C++ that resides between canonical Tinker and the OpenMM application programming interface. It provides a dynamic omni program that exchanges data between CPU and GPU memory through the library interface and performs MD simulations on CUDA compatible NVIDIA GPUs. Tinker OpenMM supports an increasing subset of Tinker’s energy functions, MD integrators, free energy methods, and other features. The current version adds an internal virial implementation for use with barostat techniques, pairwise van der Waals parameters, and the capability to run absolute and relative alchemical calculations with dual topology methods. Tinker HP is a new Tinker compatible, MPI based massively parallel code for molecular dynamics with an efficient domain decomposition algorithm and analytical polarization solvers. As detailed elsewhere, Tinker HP is highly scalable across large distributed computer systems containing thousands of nodes and molecular systems containing millions of atoms.

2. FEATURES AND ORGANIZATION

File Types and Coordinate Representations. The names of Tinker files describing a particular molecular system consist of a base name followed by a suffix of three or more characters, e.g., molecule.xyz. Several other file name suffixes are used for various types of output, program control, etc. The most common default Tinker file names are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Tinker 8 File Name Suffixes and Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>suffix</th>
<th>description of file contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.xyz</td>
<td>Cartesian coordinates, atom types, and connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.int</td>
<td>internal coordinates as a Z-matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.mol</td>
<td>MDL MOL structure compatible with Tinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.mol2</td>
<td>MOL2 structure compatible with Tinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.pdb</td>
<td>PDB structure compatible with Tinker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.arc</td>
<td>structure archive, e.g., MD trajectory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.dyn</td>
<td>MD restart information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.hes</td>
<td>Cartesian Hessian matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.key</td>
<td>control file with Tinker keywords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tinker.key</td>
<td>generic keyfile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.err</td>
<td>current structure at error occurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.seq</td>
<td>biopolymer sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.vel</td>
<td>atomic velocities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.ind</td>
<td>atomic induced dipole moments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.dta</td>
<td>distributed multipole values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.bar</td>
<td>window energy values for BAR and FEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.prm</td>
<td>force field parameter file</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.doc</td>
<td>detailed parameter descriptions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.end</td>
<td>requests orderly termination of Tinker program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.vb1, .vb2, .blk</td>
<td>block iterative vibrational mode files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.001, .002, etc.</td>
<td>“cycle” files containing sequential structure output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Systems are represented in Tinker as collections of points in space, typically denoting individual atoms or coarse grained collections of atoms. File representations can contain Cartesian coordinates (.xyz files), full internal coordinates (.int files), torsional angle coordinates, or rigid body coordinates. Values are stored in angstroms and degrees, and output is written to a precision of 6, 8, or 10 decimal places. Periodic box boundaries are specified in terms of crystallographic lattice lengths (a, b, and c) and lattice angles (α, β, and γ). The standard convention used in Tinker places the a lattice vector along the global x axis and the b vector in the xy plane. These periodic dimensions are stored as part of the keyword control (key) file for a calculation or, optionally, as part of the coordinate file itself. Periodic systems, including truncated octahedra, are defined such that the centroid of the unit cell or periodic box is located at the coordinate origin (0, 0, 0).

Software Organization. The majority of the source code of the Tinker package is written in portable Fortran 95 with OpenMP parallelization directives for CPU intensive calculations on shared memory multiple core systems. The system wide resources are managed in Fortran modules that make use of dynamic memory allocation and are designed to represent only the current state of the simulation system. The energy specific parameters, e.g., the cubic and quartic coefficients of the fourth order anharmonic bond potential, are not hard coded in the source files, thus preserving the flexibility of Tinker in force field development.
The central component of the Tinker package is a modular set of callable routines that (1) manage the package owned resources, including default initialization, allocation of the dynamic memory, release of the allocated space, etc., (2) perform MM calculations and MD simulations on a single set of parameters and atomic coordinates, (3) read in settings from standard input, command line arguments, and external files and write out the current state of the system to standard output or external files. These routines essentially work as the underlying API to build the higher level routines and programs in the Tinker package. For example, the gradient routine is called not only in multiple integrators but also by various minimization procedures. This design makes creating new routines and new programs easy. A good implementation example is the reversible reference system propagator algorithm (RESPA) integrator, for which the energy and force terms are organized into “fast” and “slow” groups that are evaluated on different time scales. Because these energy and force routines are organized as a callable library, RESPA is integrated at a high level simply by toggling these terms on and off.

**Keyword Control Mechanism.** Every program in the Tinker package is capable of interactively reading arguments from standard input, thus making the program easy to use directly. These interactive inputs are limited to the basic necessities for any given calculation. However, the Tinker programs are not restricted to reading runtime arguments from the command line. Advanced users can set more detailed options via an external configuration (key) file through a “keyword” mechanism. The keywords not only manipulate the straightforward behavior of the programs, (e.g., whether to save the velocities of atoms during a simulation) but also manage default settings (e.g., to change the grid dimension used by PME as necessary), handle hardware resources (e.g., setting a number of threads for OpenMP, choosing an available GPU card, etc.), and even control library dependency (e.g., switching between underlying FFT algorithms). The current Tinker version implements about 350 keywords, many with multiple options to provide fine grained control over the behavior of Tinker calculations.

**How To Set Up a Macromolecular Simulation.** One of the most common use cases for Tinker is running MD simulations on a macromolecular system of interest in explicit solvent. Setting up this kind of calculation requires a starting set of coordinates (for the macromolecule of interest in explicit solvent and ions that can be used to start a simulation. However, this procedure supplies only a relatively rough set of starting coordinates. The user must choose the force field model with which to simulate the system and must equilibrate the system by running a short MD trajectory. The type of model and necessary length of equilibration are left to the discretion of the user.

**How To Write a New Tinker Program.** Tinker has an intentionally modular design. In addition to making the code understandable, this modularity makes it possible to quickly write new Tinker programs. For most applications, a new program can be initialized, a structure input, and a molecular mechanics model set up in three lines of code:

```plaintext
call initial
call getxyz
call mechanic
```

These steps, which are shown in more detail in Figure 2, allow developers to use Tinker’s existing machinery to quickly set up new types of calculations.

The first step in writing any new Tinker program is initialization of variables and reading of a molecular structure. If the new program does not require any new global variables, this can be done via the `initial` and `getxyz` routines. The `initial` routine declares and initializes global variable values that are needed for every Tinker program, and `getxyz` parses a Tinker Cartesian coordinates file (`.xyz`) for a molecular system, provided either via command line input or interactively at a user prompt. Once these two routines have been called, Tinker is ready to perform operations on the structure. Multistructure “trajectories” can also be read directly as input from Tinker archive (`.arc`) files.

Once a structure is obtained, the work of setting up a Tinker MM calculation is performed by the `mechanic` routine, which is a self contained protocol for setting up the potential energy model for a given system. First, `mechanic` assigns connectivity to the structure and obtains a force field parameter file (`.prm` file). This can be supplied at an interactive prompt or included in a keyword control file (i.e., a “keyfile”, typically `key`) containing Tinker directives or “keywords”. Then `mechanic` does the work of setting up the potential energy function. If no keyfile is supplied, the package simply instantiates the contents of the parameter file. If a keyfile is provided, it may optionally contain keywords related to each individual component of the
potential energy function and specifying modified or additional parameter values that supersede those in the parameter file. The internal setup for each potential energy term is also highly standardized. For example, the multipole energy, force, and Hessian routines, all of which have source standardized. For example, the multipole energy, force, and Hessian routines, all of which have source standardized. For example, the multipole energy, force, and Hessian routines, all of which have source standardized.

Tinker also includes so-called “improper torsion” terms that define torsions involving atoms that are not consecutively bonded, as used to enforce planarity in the Amber models and many other force fields. Finally, harmonic “improper dihedral” terms can be used to maintain planarity, as in the CHARMM force fields. The final primary potential term in Tinker is the direct description of out of plane bending. Tinker has three methods for computing an out of plane bending potential. The first two potentials are computed via an out of plane angle, using either the Wilson–Decius–Cross or Allinger definitions. A simpler third method consists of a harmonic term describing the out of plane distance of a trigonal atom from the plane defined by its three attached atoms. These primary terms describing the energetics of bonds, angles, torsions, and out of plane bends constitute the bulk of most intramolecular energy models a user might like to build or use.

In addition to primary intramolecular potentials, Tinker supports a variety of intramolecular cross terms. These terms control how the primary energy models are coupled and change as a function of each other. The classic and most basic example of a cross term is the stretch–bend (or bond–angle) term, which describes how two adjacent ideal bond distances change as a function of the angle between the bonds. Included

3. COMPUTATIONAL MODELS

Potential Energy Functions. Among the many goals of the Tinker software package, one of the most fundamental is to provide users the ability to explore a wide variety of models. To this end, Tinker includes support for a tremendous array of potentials. There are two advantages to the large number of potentials that are included and supported by the package. First, it gives end users the ability to use and compare a wide variety of models for their particular application system. Various Tinker potential terms can be grouped together to replicate several widely used biomolecular force fields such as those from the CHARMM,27 Amber,28 and OPLS AA29 families. The second reason to support a large number of potentials is to expedite the development of new models. Because of the modular nature of the code, researchers can easily incorporate any of the existing potentials in a model. In total, approximately 30 different potential terms are supported in the Tinker package, all with exact analytical energies and Cartesian derivatives and many with second derivatives. Broadly, the potentials can be divided into intramolecular terms, intermolecular terms, and implicit solvent models.

The intramolecular potential energy terms in Tinker can be further subdivided into primary terms and cross terms. The former describe the energetics of simple motions such as bond stretching, angle bending, and torsional rotation, while the latter describe couplings between the primary energy terms. The simplest of the primary terms are the bonded potentials. Tinker includes harmonic, anharmonic, and Morse bond terms. The package also has several types of angle bending potentials: harmonic, anharmonic, linear, projected in plane, and Fourier based angles. Additionally, four types of torsion terms are included. The first is a calculation for a simple torsion defined by four consecutively bonded atoms using a sum of Fourier terms. The second, termed a Bell’s “π torsion”, computes the torsion around a bond connecting two trigonal centers using the π orbital directions at each trigonal center.

Tinker routine for Tinker. The subroutine initializes some Tinker variables with default values.

Get input structure
- Get input from Cartesian coordinates via call getcart
- Or from simulation trajectory, and/or from standard input
(See examples in diffuse.f)

Set parameters for the new program
1. call mechanic
   - Or call the routines used by mechanic if some of these initializations are unnecessary
2. Call the routines to initialize new variables and/or parse new keywords

Call a routine that does the desired new calculation

Cleanup & Exit call final

Figure 2. Schematic procedure illustrating construction of a Tinker program.
in Tinker, in addition to a stretch–bend potential, are cross terms for angle–angle, bond–torsion, angle–torsion, and torsion–torsion terms as well as a Urey–Bradley term. Including these terms in a total potential allows users to build and use sophisticated intramolecular energy models when the application requires it, for example to reproduce vibrational frequencies.

The next broad class of potentials provided by Tinker are intermolecular terms. These can be subdivided into van der Waals (vdW) or repulsion–dispersion interactions and generalized Coulombic or electrostatic interactions. In order to support a wide variety of models, Tinker includes five different functional forms for van der Waals interactions: a Lennard–Jones 6–12 potential, a buffered 14–7 Halgren potential, a Buckingham exponential–6 potential, a Gaussian vDW potential, and the MM3 vDW–hydrogen bond potential. Distance based vdW cutoffs combined with pair neighbor lists are available to avoid computation of $N^2$ interactions in large systems. A long range correction is available for all vdw potentials using a mean field approach to include contributions to the energy and internal virial from the cutoff distance to infinity. This correction is highly accurate for homogeneous systems but less appropriate for systems with vDW heterogeneity. These functions allow a great deal of flexibility in using and designing models with different representations of short range interactions between atoms.

The most complex set of potentials included in the Tinker package are the electrostatic interaction potentials. Tinker has the ability to compute simple point charge interactions, but it also implements interactions between higher order multipole moments. Tinker can treat bond center dipole models, permanent atomic multipole models with interactions through quadrupoles, and induced dipole models. The ability to efficiently compute permanent multipole and induced dipole models allows Tinker to run calculations with advanced models, such as the AMOEBA force field. Indeed, much development effort in Tinker has been and continues to be focused on streamlining and modularizing code to implement next generation force fields with more accurate electrostatic models.

The last major category of potentials in Tinker is continuum models. The most commonly used of these are various implicit solvation models. Tinker includes support for several generalized Born (GB) variations, including those of Still,43 Onufriev–Bashford–Case,40 ACE,41 and Grycuk;27 the generalized Kirkwood (GK) method for use with polarizable multipoles; accessible surface area based solvation;24 the hydrophobic potential of mean force (HPMF),45 a novel reaction field method,46 and Poisson–Boltzmann (PB)47 solvation models. The GB, GK, surface area, and HPMF potentials are all implemented directly in the Tinker code, while PB calculations are provided via an interface to the Adaptive Poisson–Boltzmann Solver (APBS) software package.48 All of the solvation models in Tinker are implemented to work with advanced electrostatic and induced dipole models. In addition to these solvation models, Tinker also includes surface area and volume calculations with derivatives, which can be used to build or use potentials incorporating these geometric molecular descriptors.

Additionally, Tinker includes two orbital based models for description of selected quantum effects within a classical frame work. Simple $\pi$ orbital calculations of the Hückel, Pariser–Parr–Pople, or variable electronegativity self consistent field (VESCF)49 class can be used to scale bond and torsional parameters in conjugated or aromatic systems. Three ligand field models for describing the coordination geometry at transition metal sites within the Tinker package have also been described.50

Although Tinker includes a large number of possible potentials, using them within an energy model is straightforward. The energy and gradient subroutines for each different potential are modular, which is to say that they can each be called separately with just one line of computer code. For developers, this means that it is easy to mix and match different potentials in a model or devise new potentials as desired. For users, this makes it simple to activate or deactivate individual parts of a model via a single keyword to toggle use of individual potential terms. This makes it easy to manipulate and analyze energy components for complicated structures.

**Force Field Models.** The wide variety of classical functional forms available in Tinker enables support for a number of existing force fields. From its beginnings Tinker has been intended for use with multiple models. In fact, one of the original goals of the package was to allow users to seamlessly compare energetic models for a given problem or application. To this end, Tinker supports the following standard force fields: Amber,43 CHARMM,42 OPLS,53 MM2/3,54,55 MMFF,55 AMOEBA,56−58 Dang,59 the so called “Tiny” force field, and a number of specialized models for water. For many of these force fields, several modifications are provided as complete parameter sets contained within the Tinker distribution. The force fields available in Tinker span a wide range, from the Tiny force field with generic parameters based on element type and valence for use in optimizing crude structures to the AMOEBA09 small molecule force field containing detailed parameters over finely subdivided atom types and advanced functional forms such as multipolar electrostatics and induced dipole polarizability. The included force fields also span major classes of biomolecules, with parameters to model pro teins, nucleic acids, lipids, and small organic molecules. Users should consult the respective literature on each force field before deciding which model might be best suited to their application.

**4. CAPABILITIES**

**Structure Manipulation.** In order to generate coordinate files adapted to various software packages and purposes, Tinker provides convenient tools to convert coordinate files into different formats and to manipulate coordinate files for different calculation purposes, such as building crystal structures, generating periodic boxes, etc.

First, Tinker recognizes the Tinker .xyz file format for all calculations. However, other software packages are adapted to coordinate files with other formats. For instance, CHARMM, AMBER, and VMD are adapted to PDB files, several pharmaceutical modeling suites and drug databases use MOL2 files, and many quantum mechanics (QM) packages such as Gaussian operate on internal coordinates. To allow interoperability, Tinker provides six commands to do interconversions between different coordinate files. The command pdbxyz takes a Tinker .xyz file as input and generates the corresponding PDB file as output. The command xyzmol2 takes a Tinker .xyz file as input and generates the corresponding PDB file as output. The command mol2xyz converts a .xyz file to a MOL2 file. The command xyzint converts a .xyz file to an internal coordinate file in which the absolute Cartesian coordinates are expressed as relative positions (bond length, bond angle, and torsional angle) among atoms. The commands pdbxyz, mol2xyz, and intxyz convert PDB files, MOL2 files, and internal coordinate files back to .xyz files.
Second, Tinker also provides file editing tools for the purpose of simulation setup. Most of the xyz editing tools are listed as options under the command xxyzedit, such as inserting and deleting atoms, changing force field atom types, translating/rotating a system to specified Cartesian or rigid body coordinates or into the inertial frame, appending and merging multiple files or soaking a second .xyz file, creating a periodic boundary box, placing a solute into a periodic solvent box, adding ions to a solvated system, etc. The command superepute is designed to superimpose a pair of structures to an optimal root mean square deviation (RMSD) using a noniterative quaternion based algorithm. Since biomolecules such as nucleic acids and proteins are target systems for many studies, Tinker provides the nucleic and protein tools to generate nucleic acid and protein structures, respectively, according to the sequence information and backbone or side chain torsional angle values. Lastly, the crystal utility is designed for manipulation of crystal structures, including generation of unit cells from asymmetric units and according to box size, shape, and space group.

Local Search and Minimization. Tinker has a number of local minimization algorithms implemented to effectively and efficiently minimize a quantity of interest. Several algorithms are widely used in Tinker in conjunction with a force field to minimize the energy of a molecular structure. The code contains routines for limited memory Broyden–Fletcher–Gold–Shanno (LBFGS) minimization,\(^\text{58}\) optimally conditioned variable metric (OCVM) nonlinear optimization,\(^\text{60}\) and truncated Newton conjugate gradient (TNCG)\(^\text{59,61}\) Hessian based optimization. The LBFGS algorithm is of the nonlinear conjugate gradient class, and as such does not require an analytical Hessian matrix. It uses the BFGS update to update the line search direction at each iteration. The limited memory implementation in Tinker allows this routine to be used for Cartesian minimization of large systems. The OCVM algorithm uses a quasi Newton methodology without line search to update an approximation to the inverse Hessian at every step. It is particularly effective for optimization of rougher potential surfaces, such as those in torsional space. Lastly, the TNCG algorithm uses a preconditioned truncated conjugate gradient method coupled with direct sparse Hessian evaluation or a finite difference Hessian approximation to minimize an objective function. The TNCG method converges quadratically once in the vicinity of a local minimum and can optionally find transition states and general stationary points after disabling checks for negative curvature. LBFGS and TNCG use the same line search algorithm, a gradient based trust region safeguarded parabolic extrapolation, cubic interpolation procedure. To miniimize structures, the LBFGS, OCVM, and TNCG methods are implemented in the Tinker minimized, optimized, and Newton pro grams, respectively. These minimize structures in Cartesian coordinate space. Tinker also contains the corresponding pro grams, minirot, optirot, and newtrot for minimizations in torsional space as well as minrigid and optrigid for minimizations with rigid body groups of atoms.

While TNCG based optimization methods are easily modified to allow convergence to transition states, the catchment basin is often small and requires a starting structure close to the final transition state. Tinker contains two other methods, saddle and path, that are specifically designed to locate conformational transition states and pathways. The saddle routine represents a combination of ideas from the Halgren–Lipscomb synchronous transit\(^\text{62}\) and Bell–Crichton quadratic path\(^\text{63}\) methods. It takes two end point structures as input and performs an iterative series of maximizations along the connecting path and minimizations orthogonal to the path until the saddle point is located. The path program starts from local minima and uses Lagrange multiplier based constraints to minimize orthogonal to a series of equally spaced path points, generating a “trajectory” along the interconversion pathway.\(^\text{54}\)

In addition, Tinker contains an adaptive derivative free multi dimensional Nelder–Mead simplex optimization algorithm and a modified Levenberg–Marquardt least squares algorithm combining features of the IMSL BCLS routine and the LMDER code from Minpack.\(^\text{65}\) These methods are used within Tinker for optimization of stochastic objective functions and in force field parameter refinement, respectively.

Global Optimization. Besides the various optimization methods to find local minima of potential energy functions, Tinker also has a number of optimization algorithms to find global minima of the target function. Roughly, these algorithms can be divided into two categories: first, methods that rely on pathway or trajectory dependent propagation to overcome the local barriers or to enumerate local minima, and second, methods that modify the underlying potential surface while approximating a solution to the equilibrium density distribution. The first category of methods includes simulated annealing,\(^\text{66}\) generalized gradient descent,\(^\text{67,68}\) “jumping between wells”\(^\text{68}\), and the Monte Carlo minimization (MCM) method.\(^\text{69}\) The second category of global optimization algorithms includes potential smoothing techniques\(^\text{70}\) and the related Gaussian density annealing (GDA) scheme.\(^\text{71}\)

The anneal program is a traditional MD based simulated annealing code with an optional pre equilibration phase and several available cooling schedules. It starts from a high temperature at which local energy barriers are easily overcome. Then the cooling schedule is applied to gradually lower the temperature and coalesce the structure into a low energy local minimum. In the sniffer program, a second order differential equation is designed to enable generalized descent along a trajectory without becoming trapped in the catchment region of any particular minimum. Following a steepest descent propagator, the trajectory is constrained to a minimum that is greater than the predefined energy levels, which is presumed to be the global minimum.\(^\text{67,72}\) The scan program uses jumping between wells to locate all of the local minima for an input structure by self consistently following low frequency normal mode search directions from all known minima. The global minimum can be obtained by comparing all of the local minima.\(^\text{58}\) The monte program implements an MCM protocol that uses Metropolis Monte Carlo exploration of a potential surface in which the energy of each point on the surface is remapped to the value of the closest local minimum.\(^\text{69}\) Potential surface smoothing (PSS) views the original potential energy functional forms as the \(t = 0\) initial conditions for solution of the diffusion equation. Conformational search is then performed on the smoother surface produced at some finite nonzero time. The method can be shown to be mathematically equivalent to performing molecular mechanics with “fuzzy” atoms, where the location of each atom is generalized to a Gaussian probability distribution around its most likely position. The pss, pssrot, and pssrgd programs implement the PSS idea in terms of Cartesian, torsional, and rigid body representations, respectively. The gda program performs annealing while seeking an approximate solution for the equilibrium density distribution and can be viewed as a dynamical version of the deterministic potential smoothing methods.
Two examples of global optimization methods are demonstrated in Figure 3 for a deca alanine model system in the gas phase using (A) the scan program and (B) the monte program.

Figure 3. Structural optimization of deca alanine in the gas phase using (A) the scan program and (B) the monte program.

Dynamics Methods. One important feature for any modern molecular mechanics software package is the ability to perform molecular dynamics. In the past four decades, many of the important contributions of classical empirical potential models have been realized through MD simulations. In Tinker this feature is implemented through the dynamic program, a feature rich MD engine. In addition to being able to run simulations with any of the force fields included with Tinker, it allows the user a great deal of flexibility in the details of how a simulation is run.

Tinker has the ability to run simulations in any of four traditional statistical mechanical ensembles: microcanonical (NVE), canonical (NVT), isenthalpic–isobaric (NPH), and isothermal–isobaric (NPT). For each of these options, where necessary, Tinker can employ a wide variety of integrators, thermo stats, and barostats. The possible integrators include velocity Verlet, Beeman,73 stochastic,74 Nose–Hoover NPT,75 Bussi–Parrinello NPT,76 a two stage, multiple time step RESPA integrator,77 and a rigid body integrator.78 Most of these integrators have been reviewed extensively in the literature. Two of particular interest, however are the RESPA integrator and the rigid body integrator. The RESPA integrator allows the user to take two separate time steps when propagating molecular dynamics. The first, frequently evaluated time step is used for rapidly changing degrees of freedom such as bond stretching, and the second, longer time step is used for the slowly changing but computationally expensive electrostatics or polarization calculations. The rigid body integrator is unique to Tinker and is based on the original work of Andrey Kutapov and Marina A. Vorobieva (VNIITF, Russian Federal Nuclear Facility, Chelyabinsk). Tinker also includes an implementation of the RATTLE algorithm79 in order to implement holonomic constraints within velocity Verlet and related integrators. In addition, Tinker contains a stochastic dynamics integrator,80 that employs a series expansion to treat small frictional coefficients81 and has the ability to scale the friction term based on accessible surface area.82 Removal of translation and, if appropriate, rotation of the global system is optionally invoked after each user specified number of MD steps.

For the constant temperature and constant pressure ensembles, Tinker includes a variety of thermostats and barostats. The included thermostats are Bussi,83 Berendsen,84 Andersen,85 and Nosé–Hoover.75,86 The available barostats are Berendsen,84 Bussi–Parrinello,76 and Monte Carlo.57 It should be noted that because Tinker includes an internal virial calculation for every available model potential, the Berendsen barostat may be used with both simple and advanced models. The defaults in Tinker are the Bussi thermostat and Berendsen barostat, but the available thermostats or barostats can be used in any of several combinations with the standard integrators (Verlet, Beeman, and RESPA). An active area of development in Tinker is the application of an isokinetic scheme that combines a massive thermostat with a multiple time step integrator to achieve ultralong time steps for the slowly evolving but computationally expensive potential terms in a simulation. This method is called Stochastic Iso NH RESPA or SIN(R), and it has been demonstrated to achieve outer time steps of up to 100 fs for the AMOEBA water model without loss of model accuracy.88

Properties and Analysis. One of the most useful programs in the Tinker package is analyze. It can be used to evaluate a single structure or a multiple frame file from a simulation. The program is designed to provide everything from general information to detailed atom level information about the system. Its most basic function is to simply print out the total potential energy broken down into each individual component, but it can do much more. The analyze program can give information about the force field being used and the parameters for every atom in the system. It optionally outputs a potential energy breakdown by atom or with details for every interatomic interaction. It can also give the user some basic properties of the system, such as electric moments and prin cipal axes. It calculates the internal virial, numerical, and virial based derivatives of the energy with respect to volume, and finally, it can print the connectivity list and force field parameters used for every atom and interaction. As with many Tinker programs, analyze can take as input either a single structure as an xyz file or a multiframe archive or MD trajectory as a Tinker .arc file. These features allow users not only to evaluate properties for single structures or trajectories but also to quickly spot and isolate any errors or inconsistencies that might occur.

Tinker implements analytical Hessian computation for many potential functions and numerical Hessian evaluation for all others. The Hessian is arranged in a sparse matrix with only elements with magnitudes greater than a keyword specified cutoff stored. The vibrate program finds the mass weighted Hessian and, after diagonalization via the diagq routine (Bernard R. Brooks, NHLBI, NIH), produces the normal modes and vibrational frequencies for the input structure. Small multiframe structure files are also generated to enable visualization of the motion along each mode.

For large structures, such as biopolymers, where full matrix diagonalization is not practical, the vibbig program implements...
an iterative sliding block diagonalization method that finds the
lowest frequencies and corresponding modes with \( O(N^2) \)
computational effort.\(^8^9\)

In addition to analysis and manipulation of structures,
Tinker has a suite of programs designed to assess properties for
liquid systems. The \textit{diffuse} program takes as input an MD
trajectory as a \textit{.arc} file and calculates the self diffusion coeffi-
cient of a homogeneous liquid or subset of atoms from a het-
erogeneous system. The algorithm employed uses the standard
Einstein relation applied to the molecular centers of mass of
the liquid. There are also programs to compute the bulk dielec-
tric constant and radial distribution function (\textit{radial}) starting
from an input dynamic trajectory.

The \textit{correlate} routine is a general program and formalism for
computation of time correlation functions. It has built in
methods to find structural correlation and velocity autocorre-
lation functions. In addition, users can provide an external rou-
tine to compute any structure or energy based property, and
\textit{correlate} will generate its correlation function. Additionally,
the velocity autocorrelation function is used as input to the Tinker
\textit{spectrum} program, which computes the corresponding power
spectrum. This suite of programs gives users a set of tools to
assess properties from liquid simulations.

\textbf{Free Energy Calculations.} One of the most common
applications of molecular modeling is the calculation of bind-
ing free energies. Tinker contains methods to compute the
binding free energy of a drug to a protein or the solvation free
energy of an ion in water. Computation of binding free energies
relies on the completion of a thermodynamic cycle, as pictured
in Figure 4. In order to calculate a free energy, Tinker employs
an “alchemical” approach that “disappears” the ligand of inter-
est in the presence and absence of its host. The free energy dif-
ferences of these processes are calculated using free energy perturbation (FEP).

The majority of the analysis of the free energy difference of
the sampled conformations in Tinker is handled by the \textit{bar}
program, which applies the standard Zwanzig FEP method\(^90\)
and Bennett acceptance ratio (BAR) method\(^91\) for the canoni-
cal ensemble. Additionally, the \textit{bar} program has been extended
to process isothermal–isobaric simulations\(^92\) and to estimate
the differences in entropy and enthalpy of the samples.\(^93\)

An example of the utility of the \textit{dynamic} and \textit{bar} programs is
the calculation of binding free energies for the SAMPL4 host–
guest challenge.\(^94\) We used \textit{dynamic} to run sampling simulations of the host–guest binding systems over \( \lambda \) windows
to decouple guest electrostatic and van der Waals interactions
and then performed \textit{bar} FEP calculations on those trajectories.
The results for one particular host–guest pair are shown in
Figure 5. In addition to prediction of the binding free energy,
\textit{dynamic} trajectory snapshots show the preferred binding pose
for this ligand.

\textbf{Testing and Debugging.} All of the analysis procedures
listed above depend on the validity of the model that goes into
them. Tinker has many built in utilities to test the correctness
of code for new and existing models. These allow developers to
quickly test whether a new energy function and its derivatives
are consistent. The \textit{testgrad} and \textit{testrot} programs check to make
sure that the analytical potential energy derivatives match
those calculated numerically; \textit{testgrad} operates in Cartesian
space, while \textit{testrot} computes and checks derivatives with
respect to torsional angles. The \textit{testhess} program takes the next
step by comparing the analytical Hessian against one com-
puted numerically from either gradient or potential energy
values. Finally, the \textit{testpair} utility tests methods for determining
pairwise neighbor interactions in energy and gradient evalu-
ation. This program compares results and computes timings
for energy and gradient evaluations using a double loop, the
method of lights, or a pairwise neighbor list.

Additionally, Tinker includes \textit{polarize}, a program to compute
the molecular polarizability of an individual molecule using
either an additive or interactive induced dipole model. In addi-
tion to allowing comparison with experimental values, com-
puting the molecular polarizability gives users an idea of how
strongly many body effects may affect subsequent calculations.

\textbf{Parametrization Tools.} The final set of important utilities
in Tinker are a trio of programs designed to parametrize new
molecules. The Tinker \textit{valence}, \textit{poledit}, and \textit{potential} programs
can be used to generate parameters for intra- and intermole-
cular potential energy functions. The \textit{valence} program takes a
Tinker \textit{.xyz} file and a Gaussian QM output file and generates a
set of parameters for the basic intramolecular potential energy
function as well as rough guesses at van der Waals parameters.
It can also further refine those intramolecular energy function
parameters by fitting to QM calculation results. The \textit{poledit}
program allows users to set and modify atomic multipole
models. It can generate multipole parameters obtained from
Gaussian distributed multipole analysis (GDMA) output.\(^95\)
It is also used to set local coordinate frames for atomic multi-
poles, modify polarizability values, define polarization groups
for the AMOEBA model, and average multipole parameters for
symmetry related sites.

Lastly, the \textit{potential} program can be used to evaluate and
refine atomic multipole models. This utility computes the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{thermodynamic_cycle.png}
\caption{Typical thermodynamic cycle for the calculation of the absolute binding free energy of a host and ligand in Tinker. The completely solvated ligand and a solvent box are associated through intermediate states with gradual changes in the order parameters of vDW and electrostatics. While the order parameter of electrostatics affects both intermolecular and intramolecular interactions, the decreasing order parameter of vDW only decouples the ligand from the environment and does not change the intramolecular vDW interaction. A restraint is added to prevent possible bad contacts and to help with sampling.}
\end{figure}
electrostatic potential on a grid of points surrounding a molecule. It can then either compare that potential to another multipole model or QM calculation or fit the multipole model to the QM result. These three parametrization programs are combined in a Python based, publicly available software package called Poltype.96 This program is specifically designed to automate the process of generating parameters for the AMOEBA model and has been used extensively to facilitate rapid and reproducible parametrization of new molecules.

5. ALGORITHMS

One of the challenges faced by all molecular modeling packages is efficient calculation on large application systems. Tinker incorporates a number of interesting and novel algorithms to help address computational bottlenecks, including algorithms for periodic boundary calculations, neighbor list generation, particle mesh Ewald summation for electrostatics, and efficient induced dipole solvers for polarization.

Periodic Systems and Neighbor Lists. To enable modeling of “infinite” systems, four types of periodic box are supported in Tinker: orthogonal, monoclinic, triclinic, and octahedral. The octahedral periodic box refers to a truncated octahedron derived from the corresponding cube. When the cutoff of the periodic boundary condition is so large that the neighbors of an atom include at least two images of the same atom, a unique “replica” method is enabled automatically to replicate the periodic box to account for this situation. Tinker provides four internally built neighbor lists whose cutoff distances and list buffers can be configured separately through keywords for the van der Waals interactions, the partial charges, the atomic multipoles, and the polarization preconditioner, respectively, to speed neighbor searching, as opposed to the naive double loop method only if the replica method is not enabled. An efficient OpenMP parallel list updating mechanism is used to minimize list rebuilding overhead. The method of lights97 can be used to efficiently construct the neighbor lists for the triclinic, monoclinic, and orthogonal boxes. Finally, the periodicity code in Tinker is able to handle infinite bonded polymers by tracking valence terms across periodic cell boundaries. This enables correct treatment of the periodic boundary conditions.

Particle Mesh Ewald Summation. To speed electrostatics and polarization calculations on large systems, Tinker has the ability to use smooth particle mesh Ewald summation (PME) for models including charges, multipoles, or induced dipoles. Descended from an original PME code for multipolar models written by Thomas Darden, the PME routine in Tinker 8 gives the user control over the Ewald damping parameter and allows the use of either “tinfoil” or vacuum boundary conditions. The PME module also supports the truncated octahedron as a periodic shape and allows PME calculations to be performed on nonperiodic systems. The current Tinker implementation closely follows the multipole PME version previously described by Sagui et al.98 The code follows the structure of typical PME software: putting the electrostatic moments onto a spatial grid, performing a Fourier transform, performing the potential and electric field calculations in Fourier space, transforming back to real space, and finally computing the energy and force on every atom. One unique feature of the code is a domain decomposition scheme for putting moments on the grid. This method, developed by David Gohara (Biochemistry, Saint Louis University), parallelizes this step, which is otherwise rate limiting for large systems. Tinker optionally uses either a refactored three dimensional (3D) version of the public domain FFTPACK Fourier transform code or the fast Fourier transform package FFTW (Fastest Fourier Transform in the West)99 to perform the forward and backward Fourier transforms necessary for PME calculations.

Polarization Algorithms. One of the defining features of Tinker is its ability to run simulations with force fields that include induced dipole polarization. The foundational idea of such models is that the induced dipole at a given site is proportional to the electric field at that site according to

$$\mu_i = \alpha F_i$$

where $\mu$, $\alpha$, and $F$ represent the induced dipole, the polarizability, and the electric field, respectively. In a mutually inducible model, the electric field arises not only from the permanent moments of the systems but the induced dipoles as well:

$$F_i = F_{i\text{perm}} + F_{i\text{ind}}$$

This gives rise to the total induction energy,

$$U_{\text{ind}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \mu_i F_i^{\text{ind}} - \sum_i \mu_i F_i^{\text{perm}}$$

where all that is needed is to solve for the induced dipoles of the system. Tinker has three methods for determining the induced dipoles of a system: preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG), optimized perturbation theory (OPT), and extended Lagrangian/self consistent field (IEL SCF).
The most straightforward way to obtain the induced dipoles of a system is by requiring a zero residual,

\[
R = \left( \frac{dU}{d\mu} \right) = 0
\]

which enforces that the change in energy should be zero for an infinitesimal change in the induced dipoles. Solving this system of equations is a flavor of the familiar SCF calculation. In Tinker this is done using a PCG algorithm,\(^{105}\) which is typically able to converge the calculation within five or six iterations.

The OPT method\(^ {101}\) works in a manner similar to PCG, but instead of iteratively lowering the residual, it computes induced dipoles from perturbation theory. In this scheme, the exact induced dipoles are expanded in a power series,

\[
\mu_{\text{tot}} = \mu_0 + \lambda \mu_1 + \lambda^2 \mu_2 + \ldots + \lambda^n \mu_n
\]

where each order of the perturbation is determined by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_0 &= \alpha F_{\text{perm}} \\
\lambda \mu_1 &= \lambda \alpha F_{\text{ind}}^{(1)} \\
\lambda^2 \mu_2 &= \lambda^2 \alpha F_{\text{ind}}^{(2)} \\
&\vdots \\
\lambda^n \mu_n &= \lambda^n \alpha F_{\text{ind}}^{(n-1)}
\end{align*}
\]

In this expansion, each order of the dipole is determined by the one that precedes it. This gives rise to the final energy expression

\[
U = \sum_i \mu_i^{\text{OPT}} F_i^{\text{perm}}
\]

\[
\mu_i^{\text{OPT}} = M_i \mu_0 + M_i \mu_1 + M_i \mu_2 + \ldots + M_i \mu_n
\]

where the coefficients \(M_i\) are parameters that can be tuned. Tinker currently has the ability to include up to six terms in this expansion, but it has been shown that including only two to four terms is a reasonable approximation that gives a speed boost over traditional PCG.

The final method included with Tinker is the iEL SCF method.\(^ {105}\) This method minimizes the number of iterations needed in solving the induced dipoles by introducing the Lagrangian,

\[
L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i m_i \dot{r}_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i m_i \dot{\mu}_i^2 - U_{\text{AMOERA}}(r_i^N, \mu_i^N) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \sum_i m_i (\mu_i^{\text{SCF}} - \mu_i)^2
\]

where \(m_i\) is the mass of atom \(i\), \(m_{i,i}\) is a fictitious dipole mass, and \(\omega\) is the frequency of the harmonic potential that keeps the induced dipoles close to the fully converged SCF solution. By applying the Lagrangian equations of motion, one obtains the classical equation of motion plus the equation of motion for the auxiliary degrees of freedom:

\[
m_i \ddot{r}_i = -\frac{\partial U_{\text{AMOERA}}(r_i^N, \mu_i^N)}{\partial r_i}
\]

\[
\mu_i = \omega^2 (\mu_i^{\text{SCF},i} - \mu_i)
\]

To maintain stability, a thermostat is applied to the auxiliary degrees of freedom. This gives the iEL SCF method the ability to reduce the number of iterations needed to obtain induced dipoles for a system and thus speed up simulations.

In addition to these methods, later versions of Tinker include two additional polarization options. The first is an extension of the iEL SCF method called iEL 0SCF.\(^ {105}\) This method employs the same auxiliary dipoles as in the iEL SCF scheme, but they are used to drive the dynamics directly instead of being used as a starting point for SCF. By avoiding SCF iterations, the iEL 0SCF method does not produce fully converged dipoles but does allow for much faster, stable MD simulations. The second method, previously incorporated into the Tinker HP code base, is the truncated conjugate gradient (TCG) method.\(^ {104}\) This approach computes a fixed number of iterations of the conjugate gradient algorithm and then corrects for the fact that the residual has not been minimized to zero. By using successive approximations from the conjugate gradient iterations, this method avoids the need for any parameters such as those needed in the previous approximate methods listed. Moreover, by correcting for the lack of zero residual, the TCG method allows for faster computation of analytical induced dipoles than full SCF methods like PCG. Like the OPT method described above, the TCG method provides a fully analytical set of induced dipoles that approximate the fully converged SCF values.

Orthogonal-Space Random Walk. Besides the typical FEP method, the orthogonal space random walk (OSRW) free energy calculation method is also implemented in Tinker. Classical FEP methods (BAR, thermodynamic integration, etc.) arbitrarily select an order parameter to sample. The OSRW method is capable of exploring the order parameter as well as the so-called “hidden degrees of freedom” simultaneously.\(^ {105}\) Because of the complexity of many systems, efficient sampling of the hidden degrees of freedom dominates the accuracy of the final free energy computation. Currently, OSRW free energy calculations in Tinker are supported for the NVT ensemble and RESPA integrator and are restricted to the buffered 14–7 vDW potential, where a soft core modified buffered 14–7 potential is applied as a replacement for the original. Permanent electrostatic interactions are also modified by a soft core treatment to prevent numerical instability during simulation.\(^ {105}\) When OSRW is used with AMOEBA, the polarization energy and forces are computed using an interpolation between fully charged/polarizable and de charged/nonpolarizable ligand atoms as described previously.\(^ {105}\) Work is currently underway, in collaboration with Wei Yang (Chemistry, Florida State University), to implement the most recent versions of his orthogonal space tempering techniques inside the family of Tinker programs.\(^ {108}\)

The setup of a Tinker keyfile for the use of OSRW is straightforward. For instance, to compute the hydration free energy of a small solute in water, only four additional keywords are required. First, the keyword “ligand” specifies the atom numbers of the solute for the hydration free energy calculation. The additional Tinker keywords “osrw absolute”, “donoligand condensed”, and “dovapolaric” specify an absolute solvation energy calculation, the presence of only a single ligand molecule, and use of a gas phase leg in the free energy calculation, respectively.

Distance Geometry. In the context of molecular modeling, distance geometry (DG) is a method for generating a structure or structures consistent with an input set of distance
A basic DG algorithm takes an object in a high-dimensional mathematical "distance space" and reduces the dimensionality by projecting it into a 3D molecular structure. An early important use of the method involved the generation of protein NMR structural models from short range NMR nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) distance constraints. However, a more interesting application of DG is to underconstrained problems. Given a limited set of upper and lower bound distances between atoms or groups in a molecular system, one would like a DG algorithm to generate a uniform sampling of all possible structures consistent with the input distance ranges. Tinker 8 contains an efficient method that exhibits excellent sampling properties for underconstrained input through extension of standard DG algorithms. First, the Tinker distgeom program uses random partial metrization to update the matrix of upper and lower distance bounds whenever an individual distance value is fixed during structure generation. Only a small predetermined portion of the distance selections are followed by metrization, reducing the computational burden of a nominally \( O(N^3) \) method.

Tinker uses a powerful but relatively little known shortest path update algorithm to further reduce the metrization workload. Second, distgeom selects distances between the upper and lower bounds from a Gaussian like distribution tuned to reproduce reasonable molecular structures instead of using the traditional flat, uniform distribution. Additional terms are used to enforce local chirality and torsional constraints, and simulated annealing on geometric constraints is used to refine output structures. The resulting Tinker program performs well in NMR applications and provides good sampling in less constrained situations such as protein structure prediction.

### 6. FORCE FIELD EXPLORER

In addition to the suite of command line programs, Tinker includes a graphical user interface (GUI) called Force Field Explorer or FFE. This program allows users to visualize molecular structures and provides access to many of Tinker’s analysis, search, and dynamics methods from a simple, user friendly interface. This functionality makes FFE useful both as a research tool and as an instructional aid.

Force Field Explorer 8 gives users a powerful, simple, and many featured way to visualize molecular structures. It allows users to model molecules of interest using standard representations (wireframe, ball and stick, etc.). Molecules can be loaded directly from existing Tinker annotations (wireframe, ball and stick, etc.). Molecules can be idealized structures and provides access to many of Tinker’s standard features, FFE also includes tools for force field specific visualization. It can render a structure using the van der Waals radii specific to the force field being used or display the partial charges or velocities assigned to each atom of a system. For polarizable force fields, it can display the induced dipoles as a vector at each atom at every time point of a simulation. These features allow users to assess in time and space how the force field parameters affect the results of their calculations.

What makes Force Field Explorer a unique tool is that it combines visualization power with the functionality of Tinker. Through the GUI, users can run many of Tinker’s analysis, search, and dynamics programs. Simple minimizations or MD simulations can be started with the click of a button. The GUI has the ability to directly modify the Tinker keyfile via a graphical editing facility. With access to the keyfile, users can quickly and easily change the options for whatever calculation they are running without touching the command line. As shown in the example in Figure 6, FFE’s functionality is laid out in an easy to navigate format. Combined with the full integration of Tinker, this makes Force Field Explorer useful not only for research but also educational purposes.

Communication between FFE and Tinker is mediated by the Java sockets mechanism. Special versions of Tinker executables built against the FFE interface allow Tinker calculations to send output to FFE in real time, including coordinates, velocities, induced dipoles, lattice parameters, and other variables. Conversely, FFE is able to connect to an already running Tinker job on a remote machine in order to perform job control tasks, display an MD trajectory interactively, etc.

### 7. BENCHMARKS

Six periodic boundary systems of increasing size (from 648 to 174,219 atoms) have been constructed as benchmark tests to examine the efficacy of Tinker 8 and TinkerOpenMM on standard CPU and commodity NVIDIA GPU devices, respectively. The systems reported include a small water box of 216 AMOEBA water molecules, a larger 500 molecule TIP3P water box, the crystallographic unit cell of the plant protein crambin, a cucurbituril clip host—guest system from the SAMPL5 exercise, a solvated DHFR protein, and a solvated COX 2 protein dimer. The system sizes differ by more than 2 orders of magnitude. The force fields tested were Amber ff99SB, and AMOEBA. All of the simulations were performed with a 2 fs MD time step, and throughputs (in nanoseconds per day) are reported in Table 2. The CPU based Tinker calculations are performed in full double precision arithmetic. The GPU results use the “mixed” precision mode available in OpenMM, whereby energies and forces are single precision, while MD integration steps are double precision.

We note that hydrogen mass reweighting, which retards high frequency motions, is a keyword option available in Tinker. Use of this option coupled with tight thermostating enables stable MD trajectories with 4 fs time steps and yields roughly double the throughput reported in Table 2. As expected, the GPU implementation via TinkerOpenMM significantly outperforms the reference CPU version of Tinker 8 for production MD calculations.

### 8. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

As has been stressed throughout this report, a defining characteristic of the Tinker molecular mechanics package is its modularity. This intentional design lends itself to straightforward future development and software improvement. There are many unsolved problems requiring advanced energy models and sampling methods yet to be attacked by molecular modeling, and corresponding plans are underway for the future development of Tinker. Three major projects are currently in progress within the Tinker community: acceleration of the existing software, implementation of advanced potentials and sampling algorithms, and integration across the broader Tinker family of codes.

There are a host of problems in molecular biology and elsewhere where advanced models are needed but are computationally too inefficient to be tractable. Simulations of large RNA structures or proteins with significant conformational
fluctuations have long been thought to be areas where advanced methods may be required. A future goal of the Tinker package is to make such simulations possible by improving the efficiency of advanced polarizable models. Techniques for speeding up the costliest aspect of polarizable force fields, solution of the polarization model itself, are under development for implementation in future versions of Tinker, as is support for current polarizable models including SIBFA121 and GEM.122

In addition to efficient software for existing force fields, the so called charge penetration error, have recently been published,123 and corresponding models for polarization, exchange repulsion, and dispersion are under development. These potentials are currently being incorporated into Tinker. We recognize that as computational power continues to grow and the problems that molecular mechanics models are asked to solve become more demanding, it will be important to ensure that these new models have a home in Tinker.

Importantly, the future development of Tinker is directed toward unifying the code bases of the Tinker family of modeling packages (Tinker, Tinker HP,124 and Tinker OpenMM). Because molecular mechanics simulations of large molecules remain computationally demanding, it is important that the full functionality of Tinker be available to users on a variety of hardware platforms, from large scale CPU based supercomputers to individual GPUs. The Tinker HP branch for massively parallel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>system</th>
<th>potential</th>
<th>no. of atoms</th>
<th>CPU16</th>
<th>GPU2</th>
<th>GT 750m</th>
<th>GTX 970</th>
<th>GTX 1070</th>
<th>GTX 1080Ti</th>
<th>GV100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WaterSmall</td>
<td>AMOEBA</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>121.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WaterBox</td>
<td>TIP3P</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>361.7</td>
<td>574.9</td>
<td>671.9</td>
<td>495.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crambin</td>
<td>AMOEBA</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBClip</td>
<td>AMOEBA</td>
<td>6432</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHFR</td>
<td>AMOEBA</td>
<td>23558</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHFR</td>
<td>Amber ff99SB</td>
<td>23558</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>115.1</td>
<td>204.7</td>
<td>219.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>AMOEBA</td>
<td>174219</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0652</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>Amber ff99SB</td>
<td>174219</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“All of the simulations were run with periodic boundary conditions, PME electrostatics, and 2 fs MD time steps. The RESPA integrator and OPT polarization were used for AMOEBA, and the Verlet integrator with constrained rigid water and fixed bonds to hydrogen was used for TIP3P and Amber ff99SB. bTinker 8 in double precision mode on an Intel six core Xeon E5650 processor at 2.66 GHz. cTinker OpenMM in mixed precision mode on several NVIDIA GPU cards.

Figure 6. Force Field Explorer (FFE) displaying the Dickerson dodecamer structure of B form DNA. The expandable tree structure in the left panel provides access to coordinate and type information at the molecule, residue, and atom levels.
CPU calculations and the Tinker OpenMM branch as a CUDA based GPU implementation are responsible for enabling this high performance. A goal of the Tinker project is to unify the code structures of these software packages. This will have three major benefits. First, it will bring all of the codes up to date with the most efficient methods available. Second, future development of models or methods will be more easily integrated across all three platforms if their structures are unified. Third, it will allow open source development of Tinker that can be propagated to the Tinker HP and Tinker OpenMM branches. By keeping Tinker HP and Tinker OpenMM in step with Tinker development, we hope to ensure users access to Tinker functionality regardless of hardware platform.

The Tinker molecular modeling software package is an easy to use, easy to understand, and easy to modify set of programs that allows researchers to model molecular systems of interest in a variety of ways. It supports a broad spectrum of classical molecular mechanics models as well as an array of algorithms to efficiently explore the corresponding potential energy surfaces. This is accomplished through a modular code structure that permits users to inspect and manipulate calculation details and developers to add new functionality quickly. Because it is open source and freely available to academics, Tinker 8 provides a community code base in which to test old ideas and investigate new ones. It is our hope that this community oriented model will continue to advance the development of tools that make the Tinker toolbox useful.
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